

Permission to Appeal results – JCPC December 2015

Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
Gungapersand (Appellant) <i>v</i> The State (Respondent) (Mauritius) Case No: JCPC 2014/0099	Lady Hale Lord Reed Lord Toulson	Refused 9 Dec 2015	Permission to appeal be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law bearing in mind that the case has already been the subject of judicial decision and reviewed on appeal and because there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred in this case.
In the following cases, the advice which the Board proposes to give to Her Majesty is as indicated below			
Asia Pacific Limited (Appellant) <i>v</i> Arc Capital LLC and another (Respondents) (Cayman Islands) Case No: JCPC 2015/0066	Lord Neuberger Lord Sumption Lord Hughes	Refused 9 Dec 2015	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law.
Misick and Others (Appellants) <i>v</i> The Queen (Respondent) Case No: JCPC 2015/0091 Misick and Others (Appellants) <i>v</i> The Director of Public Prosecution and another (Respondents) (Turks and Caicos Islands) Case No: JCPC 2015/0096	Lord Neuberger Lord Sumption Lord Hughes	Refused 9 Dec 2015	Permission to appeal should be refused because the first application raises no point of general importance and the trial Judge and the Court of Appeal were right to reject the application for recusal; and the second application does not raise a point of constitutional importance which the Judicial Committee should consider and it is not properly arguable that the Courts below were wrong to hold the application vexatious”.
Irving and another (Appellants) <i>v</i> Harding and others (Respondents) (Isle of Man) Case No: JCPC 2015/0018	Lord Neuberger Lord Clarke Lord Hughes	Refused 9 Dec 2015	Permission to appeal should be refused because there are no arguable grounds for challenging the refusal on 6 November 2014 of the Appeal Division to re-open its concluded decisions of 24 February 2012 and 15 June 2012.
Blakeney (Appellant) <i>v</i> The State (Respondent) (Bermuda) Case No: JCPC 2014/0101 Grant (Appellant) <i>v</i> The State (Respondent) (Bermuda) Case No: JCPC 2014/0102	Lady Hale Lord Reed Lord Toulson	Refused 9 Dec 2015	Permission to appeal should be refused because there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred in this case.

<p>Consolidated Resources Armenia (Appellant) <i>v</i> Global Gold Consolidated Resources and others (Respondents) (Jersey) Case No: JCPC 2015/0075</p>	<p>Lord Mance Lord Wilson Lord Hodge</p>	<p>Granted 9 Dec 2015</p>	
<p>Wallis (Appellant) <i>v</i> Soberano Limited (Respondent) (Isle of Man) Case No: JCPC 2015/0076</p>	<p>Lord Mance Lord Wilson Lord Hodge</p>	<p>Refused 9 Dec 2015</p>	<p>Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law which ought to be considered by the Judicial Committee at this time.</p>
<p>LAEP Investments Ltd (Appellant) <i>v</i> Emerging Markets Special Situations 3 Ltd (Respondent) (Bermuda) Case No: JCPC 2015/0083</p>	<p>Lord Mance Lord Wilson Lord Hodge</p>	<p>Refused 9 Dec 2015</p>	<p>Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Judicial Committee at this time. The Board sees no basis for the proposed appeal since it relates only to dicta in the Court of Appeal's reasons, which could only have been relevant if the Court of Appeal had not made the orders it did.</p>