

## Permission to Appeal results – JCPC February 2014

Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
Sookram and another (Appellant) <i>v</i> The State (Respondent) (Trinidad and Tobago) JCPC 2013/0070	Lord Mance Lord Sumption Lord Hughes	Refused 21 Feb 2014	Permission be refused because there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred in this case. The trial Judge handled the trial and the summing up with great care.
The Attorney General (Appellant) <i>v</i> Kublalsingh and others (Respondents) JCPC 2014/0035	Lord Mance Lord Clarke Lord Sumption	Refused 26 Feb 2014	Permission be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance; and further, the Board did not detect any error in the judgments given by the Court of Appeal and by Mr Justice Aboud; the application for a conservatory order be refused.
<b>In the following cases, the advice which the Board proposes to give to Her Majesty is as indicated below</b>			
Peter Alan Willers (Appellant) <i>v</i> Albert Gubay (Respondent) JCPC 2013/0054	Lord Neuberger Lord Mance Lord Clarke	Refused 11 Feb 2014	Permission to appeal should be REFUSED because it does not raise an arguable point of law and because, in so far as Mr Gubay's now discovered affidavit is relied upon, it is not material which could or would have made any difference to the ultimate outcome of the decisions below.
Peter Alan Willers and Elizabeth Julie Willers (Appellants) <i>v</i> Albert Gubay (Respondent) JCPC 2012/0079	Lord Neuberger Lord Mance Lord Clarke	Refused 11 Feb 2014	Permission to appeal should be REFUSED because, while the Board considers that the projected appeal raises a serious issue, it does not consider that the underlying facts render this a suitable case for an appeal.
Duporte (Appellant) <i>v</i> The Queen (Respondent) JCPC 2013/0071	Lord Kerr Lord Wilson Lord Reed	Granted 11 Feb 2014	
Robert Lloyd (Appellant) <i>v</i> Roycan International Banking and others (Respondents) JCPC 2013/0010	Lord Kerr Lord Wilson Lord Hodge	Refused 11 Feb 2014	Permission to appeal should be REFUSED because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Judicial Committee at this time. The Board considers that the appeal is devoid of merit.
Waldon Thaddeus Forbes (Appellant) <i>v</i> Donna Maria Forbes JCPC 2013/0029	Lady Hale Lord Toulson Lord Hodge	Refused 11 Feb 2014	Permission to appeal should be REFUSED because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance. The decisions appealed against are purely procedural.