Permission to Appeal results – October 2019

Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
In the following cases, the advice which the Board proposes to give to Her Majesty is as indicated below			
Ian Hutchinson (Appellant) *v* The Queen (Respondent) (Bahamas) JCPC 2011/0055	Lord Reed Lord Briggs Lord Sales	Refused 8 October 2019	Permission to reopen the application should be refused because the application repeats much of what was said before, and the new material does not lead the Board to alter its view.
Hadjisoteriou (Appellant) *v* Attorney General and Legal Advisor (Respondent) (Cyprus) JCPC 2019/0008	Lord Wilson Lady Black Lord Lloyd-Jones	Refused 8 October 2019	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.
Riley (Respondent) *v* The Queen (Appellant) (Montserrat) JCPC 2019/0004	Lord Wilson Lady Black Lord Lloyd-Jones	Refused 8 October 2019	Permission to appeal should be refused. The Crown's application for permission comes far too late.
Stubbs (Appellant) *v* The Queen (Respondent) (Bahamas) JCPC 2019/0031 Davis (Appellant) v The Queen (Respondent) (Bahamas) JCPC 2019/0030	Lord Wilson Lady Black Lord Lloyd-Jones	Granted in part 8 October 2019	
Irving and another (Appellants) *v* Financial Services Authority of the Isle of Man (Respondent) (Isle of Man) JCPC 2019/0022	Lord Carnwath Lady Arden Lord Sales	Refused 8 October 2019	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance

McIntosh (Appellant) *v* Freeport Container Port Ltd (Respondent) (Bahamas) UKSC 2019/0006 Rolle (Appellant) *v* Freeport Container Port Ltd (Respondent) (Bahamas) JCPC 2019/0007	Lord Hodge Lord Lloyd-Jones Lord Kitchin	Refused 8 October 2019	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.