

Permission to Appeal results – July 2018

Case name	Justices	PTA	Reasons given
In the following cases, the advice which the Board proposes to give to Her Majesty is as indicated below			
Select Vantage Inc (Appellant) v Cayman Islands Monetary Authority (Respondent) (Cayman Islands) JCPC 2017/0096	Lord Wilson Lord Hughes Lord Hodge	Refused 10 October 2018	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance.
Fitz-Patrick Grant (Appellant) v Herbert (Respondent) (St Christopher and Nevis) JCPC 2018/0017	Lord Wilson Lord Hughes Lord Hodge	Refused 10 October 2018	Permission cannot be granted because under section 36(7) of the Constitution there is no jurisdiction to appeal to the Privy Council.
The Queen (Respondent) v Noel (Appellant) (Grenada) JCPC 2018/0016	Lord Wilson Lord Hughes Lord Hodge	Refused 10 October 2018	Permission to appeal should be refused because there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred in this case.
The Queen v Gordon and another (Appellants) (Jamaica) JCPC 2018/0029	Lord Kerr Lord Sumption Lord Hughes	Refused 10 October 2018	Permission to appeal should be refused because there is no risk that a serious miscarriage of justice has occurred in this case.
Junkanoo Estates Ltd and others (Appellants) v UBS (Bahamas) Ltd (Respondent) (Bahamas) JCPC 2018/0059	Lord Kerr Lord Sumption Lord Hughes	Refused 10 October 2018	Permission to appeal should be refused because the application does not raise an arguable point of law of general public importance which ought to be considered by the Judicial Committee at this time.